

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 24, 2005 LB 71

certain amount of money but really doesn't have very much, if anything, on the line when you look at it in detail. So in-kind contributions is a concern. I would feel more comfortable with the approach if it did not allow in-kind contributions. And then finally, to pick up on a theme raised by Don Pederson, Senator Don Pederson, we have a number of bills in Revenue Committee right now dealing, in one way or another, with rural economic development, starting with ethanol. There are a number of bills that would revise or change LB 608, and LB 608, as you recall, was a...basically a refundable tax credit, cash money to individuals who begin business ventures in the smaller counties in the state. We need a prioritization here. We cannot do everything. We cannot do...we cannot do ethanol, we can't do LB 608, we can't do small business rural microenterprise, we can't do livestock investment credit. We've got to prioritize, so is this is a priority or isn't it? And if it is a priority, do we go ahead with this and then forget about all the other ideas that have been brought forward? I don't think you can do everything. So we're at a point where we need to consider prioritization. I would like to hear some discussion about that. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Raikes. On with discussion. Senators wishing to speak to AM0569 are Senators Redfield, Stuhr, Fischer, Stuthman, Connealy, Landis, Synowiecki, Wehrbein, and Chambers. Senator Redfield.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the body. If I could engage some discussion with Senator Connealy, I would appreciate it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Connealy. Senator Connealy, would you respond? Senator Connealy. Senator Connealy, I'm sorry to interrupt your conversation there,...

SENATOR CONNEALY: Sorry. Sorry.

SENATOR CUDABACK: ...but would you respond? I'm sorry.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.